

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2001) KLR VOL 7 PART 126 pp. 2299 - 2598

JULY 2001

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Abu v. Odugbo p. 2299
2. Adone v. Ikebudu p. 2363
3. Olarewaju v. Afribank Nig. PLC p. 2395
4. Iko v. State p. 2419
5. Kenon v. Tekam p. 2461
6. Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487
7. Jegede v. State p. 2527
8. Abbas v. Solomon p. 2549
9. Makwe v. Nwukor p. 2573

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2001) 7 KLR**

ACTIONS - Claims - Contract - The appellant's claim was hinged on contract arising out of a loan transaction - Since his main relief was a contractual relief (H 7) Makwe v. Nwukor p. 2573

ACTIONS - Negligence - The appellants action - Was not grounded in tort of negligence - With regard to his pleadings and evidence (H 6) Makwe v. Nwukor p. 2573

APPEALS - Concurrent findings of lower courts - Will not be interfered with - Unless there is an error that will occasion a miscarriage of justice (H 9) Kenon v. Tekam p. 2461

APPEALS - Error of lower court - The court of appeal was in error - In reversing the finding of the trial court - As to location of land (H 16) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

APPEALS - Finding not appealed against - The court of Appeal was in error - To reverse a finding not appealed against (H 18) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

APPEALS - Findings of trial court - Supreme Court will not interfere with such findings - Except there is a miscarriage of justice - Or violation of law or procedure (H 10) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

APPEALS - Issue for determination - An issue that was not raised in the grounds of appeal - Is incompetent (H 13) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

APPEALS - Issues - Any issue not covered by the grounds of appeal - Is incompetent and will be struck out (H 17) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

APPEALS - Rape - Acquittal - The contradictions in this case - Are not sufficient by themselves - To entitle the appellant to an acquittal - As they are not material (H 4) Iko v. State p. 2419

APPEALS - Retrial - Will be ordered - When there has been an error in law - Or a vital irregularity in procedure - But not in this case (H 15) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

APPEALS - Suo motu issue - Fair hearing - Failure to hear the defendants on the suo motu issue - Amounted to a denial of fair hearing (H 20) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

APPEALS - Suo motu issue - If raised by the court - The parties must be given an opportunity to be heard (H 19) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

BANKING - Master & servant - Criminal law - Banking malpractices irregularities and dishonest practices - Of which appellant was guilty - Does not constitute any offence known to our criminal law (H 3) Olarewaju v. Afribank Nig. PLC p. 2395

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Right to sue - Judicial precedent - Uwegba's case - Decided that Governor is to set up an inquiry - Before coming to a decision under s.22(6) (b) of Bendel State Chieftaincy Law (H 2) Abu v. Odugbo p. 2299

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Fair hearing - S.33 (1) 1979 Constitution - There was no breach of the right to fair hearing - In the circumstances of this case (H 5) Kenon v. Tekam p. 2461

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Right to sue - Conflict between a state law and s. 236(1) of the 1979 Constitution - Will render the state law void for inconsistency (H 1) Abu v. Odugbo p. 2299

CONTEMPT OF COURT - Civil contempt - Application for committal - Procedure - Such an application must strictly comply with the provisions set out in the Judgments (Enforcement) Rules (H 5) Abbas v. Solomon p. 2549

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2001) 7 KLR**

CONTEMPT OF COURT - Civil contempt - Committal - Power to order committal for civil contempt - Conditions for the exercise of the power (H 4) Abbas v. Solomon p. 2549

CONTEMPT OF COURT - Civil contempt of court - What it means (H 1) Abbas v. Solomon p. 2549

CONTEMPT OF COURT - Committal proceedings - Fair hearing - Where the judgment debtors were not heard - The whole proceedings fell short of the standard of fair hearing (H 8) Abbas v. Solomon p. 2549

CONTEMPT OF COURT - Committal proceedings - Procedure to be followed - Before an order to commit a judgment debtor to prison may be made (H 7) Abbas v. Solomon p. 2549

CONTEMPT OF COURT - Committal proceedings - Requirement - Before committal proceedings are instituted - What the judgment creditor must do (H 6) Abbas v. Solomon p. 2549

CONTEMPT OF COURT - Committal - Where any person refuses or neglects to comply with an order made against him - Other than for payment of money - The Court has ample jurisdiction to order that he be committed to prison (H 3) Abbas v. Solomon p. 2549

CONTRACTS - Bindingness - The deposit of the contractual document - With the respondents bank cannot make the respondent - Bound by its terms (H 9) Makwe v. Nwukor p. 2573

CONTRACTS - Breach of contract - Where the facts constituting the breach - Amounts to a tort - A stranger to the contract may sue for breach of duty of care owed to him (H 2) Makwe v. Nwukor p. 2573

CONTRACTS - Parties - As the respondent was not a party to the contract - It could not be bound by it - As the Court of Appeal rightly held (H 8)

Makwe v. Nwukor p. 2573

CONTRACTS - Parties - Only parties to a contract can sue or be sued on the contract (H 1) Makwe v. Nwukor p. 2573

COURTS - Fair hearing - Issue - Raised suo motu - The parties must be given an opportunity to be heard on the issue (H 10) Abbas v. Solomon p. 2549

COURTS - Issue - Not placed before the Court - The court has no business dealing with it (H 9) Abbas v. Solomon p. 2549

CRIMINAL LAW - Attempted offence - Meaning - The end to which the accused arrived - Must have been substantially attained - But for unanticipated intervention (H 4) Jegede v. State p. 2527

CRIMINAL LAW - Corroboration - Classes of criminal cases - In which corroboration is required (H 7) Iko v. State p. 2419

CRIMINAL LAW - Rape - Consent - The lower courts rightly held - That there was no consent - Based on the evidence before them (H 2) Iko v. State p. 2419

CRIMINAL LAW - Rape - Definition and elements of the offence (H 1) Iko v. State p. 2419

CRIMINAL LAW - Rape - Penetration - The lower courts wrongly accepted the evidence of penetration - By the prosecutrix - In the absence of medical or other corroborative evidence (H 11) Iko v. State p. 2419

CRIMINAL LAW - Rape - Penetration - There must be proof of penetration - Which must be linked with the appellant - Before he is convicted (H 2) Jegede v. State p. 2527

vi INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2001) 7 KLR

CRIMINAL LAW - Rape - Proof - The evidence of the pathologist - Is not sufficient proof - To convict for rape (H 1) Jegede v. State p. 2527

CRIMINAL LAW - Rape - When committed - The offence cannot be said to have been committed - In the circumstances of this case (H 3) Jegede v. State p. 2527

ESTOPPEL - Cause of action estoppel - When raised - Normally the cause of action estoppel is raised as a defence to the Plaintiff's action (H 1) Adone v. Ikebudu p. 2363

ESTOPPEL - Res judicata - Issue estoppel - Proper place for a Plaintiff to raise the question - Is in the reply to the statement of defence - But where raised in the statement of claim - It should be with particularity (H 2) Adone v. Ikebudu p. 2363

ESTOPPEL - Res judicata - Nature - It is a shield not a sword - And cannot avail the plaintiff but the defendant (H 1) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

ESTOPPEL - Res judicata - Previous judgment - May be pleaded by a plaintiff - As mere estoppel not as estoppel per rem judicatam (H 2) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

ESTOPPEL - Res judicata - Subject matter of the 1st suit - And the present suit are not the same - As the 1st relates to only part of the land - While this relates to the whole land (H 8) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

ESTOPPEL - Res judicata - What a party must prove - To succeed in this defence (H 4) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

EVIDENCE - Corroboration - Essential characteristics of good corroborative evidence (H 6) Iko v. State p. 2419

EVIDENCE - Corroboration - Meaning of corroboration (H 5) Iko v. State

p. 2419

EVIDENCE - Corroboration - Rape - The finding of corroboration by the trial court - Was wrongly affirmed - As the items of corroboration listed by the trial court - Does not amount to corroboration of rape (H 9) Iko v. State p. 2419

EVIDENCE - Corroboration - Sexual offences - Corroboration in such cases are not mandatory - But expedient in practice - As the trial court rightly held (H 8) Iko v. State p. 2419

EVIDENCE - Locus in quo - As there was no serious conflict or doubt - In the evidence of the plaintiffs and defendants - A visit to the locus was not necessary nor desirable (H 6) Kenon v. Tekam p. 2461

EVIDENCE - Proof - Fair hearing - The appellants who allege a denial of fair hearing - Must show that their civil right and obligation - Have been adversely affected (H 2) Kenon v. Tekam p. 2461

EVIDENCE - Rape - Contradictions - There were some contradictions - In the evidence of the witnesses - As outlined in this case (H 3) Iko v. State p. 2419

EVIDENCE - Rape - Corroboration - The circumstances of this case - Warranted corroboration of prosecutrix evidence - Which burden was not discharged (H 13) Iko v. State p. 2419

EVIDENCE - Rape - Corroboration - The evidence of PW3 - Based on hearsay cannot be corroboration of evidence of the prosecutrix (H 6) Jegede v. State p. 2527

FAIR HEARING - Bias - The decision in an earlier suit by the trial judge - Did not affect his determination - Of the present proceeding sufficiently - To raise a question of likelihood of bias (H 3) Kenon v. Tekam p. 2461

FAIR HEARING - Bias - Waiver - The absence of complaint by the defendants - On the likelihood of bias in the trial judge at the trial - Did not amount to a waiver (H 4) Kenon v. Tekam p. 2461

FAIR HEARING - Meaning - S.33(1) 1979 Constitution - Meaning of fair hearing (H 1) Kenon v. Tekam p. 2461

JUDGMENTS - Enforcement of - Unless otherwise stipulated by statute Judgment may be enforced by a writ of attachment or by committal (H 2) Abbas v. Solomon p. 2549

JUDGMENTS - Estoppel - Proof - Issue of fact - Where not sufficiently proved - The issue must be resolved against the party - On whom the burden of proof lies (H 4) Adone v. Ikebodu p. 2363

JUDGMENTS - Evidence - A case should be determined - On appraisal and evaluation of relevant evidence - And not on swearing of juju oath (H 5) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Distinguishing factors - Where facts and issues raised are not the same - A past Supreme Court decision will not be binding (H 3) Abu v. Odugbo p. 2299

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Supreme Court - Conflicting decisions on the same point - Absence of - Will make the court follow its previous decision - Where the facts and issues are on all fours (H 4) Abu v. Odugbo p. 2299

JURISDICTION - Basis of - Trial court has jurisdiction to entertain the present suit - Based upon the statement of claim (H 5) Abu v. Odugbo p. 2299

JURISDICTION - Native court - Estoppel - The procedure adopted by

the native court - Was in excess of jurisdiction - And its judgment was null
- And cannot support an estoppel (H 7) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

JURISDICTION - Native or inferior courts - Their jurisdiction depends
on statutes - To be proved by the party asserting the jurisdiction (H 6)
Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

LAND LAW - Possession - Judgments - Dismissal of claim where there
is no counter claim - Does not ipso facto lead to an order that the claimant
- Should cease to enjoy the use and possession of the land in dispute (H
5) Adone v. Ikebudu p. 2363

LAND LAW - Title - Acts of ownership and possession - Must be
numerous and positive - To warrant inference of exclusive ownership (H
9) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

LAND LAW - Title - Consolidated actions - As both parties are plaintiffs
- They each have a burden to convince the court - Of their superior title
to the land (H 7) Kenon v. Tekam p. 2461

LAND LAW - Title - Cross action - Plaintiffs were able to discharge their
burden of proof - On the balance of probabilities - Unlike the defendants
(H 8) Kenon v. Tekam p. 2461

LAND LAW - Title - Identity of land - The land contested by both parties
are the same - Going by the features in the different survey plans -
Tendered by the parties (H 14) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

LAND LAW - Title to land - Declaration of - Plaintiff succeeds on the
strength of his case - And not on the weakness of the defence (H 3) Adone
v. Ikebudu p. 2363

LAND LAW - Title - Traditional evidence - The plaintiffs failed to prove
the necessary facts - And their claim must fail (H 12) Achiakpa v. Nduka

p. 2487

LAND LAW - Title - Traditional Evidence - Party relying on traditional evidence - Cannot plead vaguely - But must prove some facts - Such as who founded the land (H 11) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487

MASTER & SERVANT - Categories of employment - The present case fails under master and servant (H 5) Olarewaju v. Afribank Nig. PLC p. 2395

MASTER & SERVANT - Contract of service - Specific performance does not avail under present class - But wrongful termination - Will attract damages for wrongful dismissal (H 6) Olarewaju v. Afribank Nig. PLC p. 2395

MASTER & SERVANT - Dismissal - As the letter of dismissal - Did not make any allegation of criminal nature - The case of Garba v. University of Maiduguri is inapplicable (H2) Olarewaju v. Afribank Nig. PLC p. 2395

MASTER & SERVANT - Dismissal - Criminal charges - Where the dismissal is based on a criminal charge - Such charge must first be proved in a court of law - Before the dismissal can stand (H 1) Olarewaju v. Afribank Nig. PLC p. 2395

MASTER & SERVANT - Dismissal - Natural justice - The servant's appointment can lawfully be terminated - Without observing the principles of natural justice - To inform him and hear him first (H 7) Olarewaju v. Afribank Nig. PLC p. 2395

MASTER & SERVANT - Dismissal - Reasons for - Master is under no obligation - To give reasons for terminating servant's appointment (H 4) Olarewaju v. Afribank Nig. PLC p. 2395

MASTER & SERVANT - Summary dismissal - Prosecution for gross

misconduct - Where a servant is found guilty of gross misconduct - The employer can summarily dismiss him - Without first prosecuting him before the court (H 8) Olarewaju v. Afribank Nig. PLC p. 2395

MASTER & SERVANT - Wrongful termination - Reinstatement of servant - An employer cannot be compelled - To reinstate a dismissed servant - Except where the servant is protected by statute (H 9) Olarewaju v. Afribank Nig. PLC p. 2395

PLEADINGS - Estoppel - Res judicata - Must be specifically pleaded - And not casually pleaded (H 3) Achiakpa v. Nduka p. 2487 Jegede v. State p. 2527

RAPE - Attempted Rape - Evidence - There was no conclusive evidence - To justify the conviction for attempted rape (H 5) Jegede v. State p. 2527

RAPE - Penetration - No matter how slight is sufficient to constitute the offence - And if not proved - The prosecution must fail (H 10) Iko v. State p. 2419

RAPE - Penetration - The evidence of the prosecutrix - As to penetration - Is not ipso facto sufficient proof of same - In the absence of corroborative evidence (H 12) Iko v. State p. 2419

TORTS - Negligence - An action in negligence - Can succeed only if actual damage is proved (H 3) Makwe v. Nwukor p. 2573

TORTS - Negligence - Description of - It is breach of a duty to take care imposed by law - Resulting in damage to the complainant (H 5) Makwe v. Nwukor p. 2573

TORTS - Negligence - Ingredients of actionable negligence (H 4) Makwe v. Nwukor p. 2573

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Bendel State Traditional Rulers and Chiefs Law No. 16 of 1979 ss. 21, 22(1), (2), (3) & (6), 22(4), (a) & (b) Abu v. Odugbo p. 2299

Constitution of Nigeria 1979 3rd Schedule, ss. 236, 6 (6)(b) Abu v. Odugbo p. 2299

Constitution of Nigeria 1979 S.33 (1) Kenon v. Tekam p. 2461

Constitution of Nigeria 1999 S.36 (1) Kenon v. Tekam p. 2461

Criminal Code Law of Bendel State SS.359, 258 Jegede v. State p. 2527

Criminal Code S.6 Jegede v. State p. 2527

Evidence Act S.74 (1) Kenon v. Tekam p. 2461

Judgment (Enforcement) Rules, Cap 127, Laws of Lagos State, 1976; O. 9 r. 13 Abbas v. Solomon p. 2549

Sheriffs and Civil Process Act, Cap. 407 Laws of Lagos State of Nigeria, ss. 72 and 94 Abbas v. Solomon p. 2549